

## Table of Contents

Foreword	7
<b>C. <i>Complexity</i></b> Calls for a Creator	9
<b>R. <i>Reason</i></b> Rejects Randomness	11
<b>E. <i>Entropy</i></b> (disorder) is Escalating	16
<b>A. <i>Assumptions</i></b> are All-important	21
<b>T. <i>Transition-fossils</i></b> are Tainted	25
<b>I. <i>Information</i></b> is Implanted	29
<b>O. <i>Origin</i></b> of One	32
<b>N. <i>Noah</i></b> Not Naturalism	36
a. Global Cataclysm	
b. Science ≠ Methodological Naturalism	
c. Cultural Legends about History are Valuable Guides to Historical Sciences	
Appendix	56
References for Further Information	58



## Foreword

Though taught as a fact of science, evolution is actually philosophic. It is driven by presuppositions and assumptions that cling exclusively to the philosophy of “naturalism” (a.k.a. “materialism”). Naturalism says that nature is creator, transcendent to all else, and that ultimate reality is reduced to physical matter. Mankind, the most advanced species in all of nature, becomes the highest authority.

Evolution is the foundation for secular and religious humanism, which formally appeals to evolution and proclaims there is no God. No wonder that **as evolution has been declared to be fact, secular humanism has become the religious philosophy of modern society; a neo-paganism where man the creature is supreme.** This holds a seductive appeal for the pride of mankind.

By believing in evolution, it becomes easier for men to be little “kings unto themselves”, to create their own rules and justify their own ambitions and desires, able to indulge themselves in “*the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eyes, and the boastful pride of life*”, 1 John 2:16.

As the Bible explains, “*Professing to be wise they became fools,.... For they exchanged the truth of God for a lie, and worshiped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed forever. Amen.*” Romans 1:22-25.

For too long has Christianity compromised with and succumbed to a neo-pagan philosophy of life. It is time for all people to take a long hard look at evolutionism, and compare it to the truth revealed by Biblical Scripture, and by the actual evidence from science.

- Mark Cadwallader



## C – Complexity Calls for a Creator

*“For the invisible things of Him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse”, Romans 1:20.*

In his classic book *Natural Theology (1802)* William Paley presented the pocket-watch analogy for the existence of God. He described a person walking across a field who seeing a stone wouldn't think twice about how it got there. The stone has no working interconnected parts and appears to be raw material for later design and construction. If, however, the person found a pocket watch while walking through the field it would be quite reasonable to ask, who made it? The watch exhibits a high level of complexity and design with a variety of different parts all working together and finely crafted to produce motion for a purpose (to tell time).

Logic and intuition enable us all to perceive the evidence of design and creation, causing us to suspect and conclude the existence of a designer and creator. Indeed, as the Bible says *“from the creation of the world”* the existence and personality of a great God should be intuitively *“understood by the things that are made”*, *Romans 1:20*. Or as William Paley wrote in his classic treatise, **“The marks of design are too strong to be got over. Design must have a designer. The designer must have been a person. That person is God”** (Paley, 1802).

Nature is overflowing with many incredible wonders of creative complexity, designed with a purpose, even as we probe further and further into the simplest forms of life. The complexity does not diminish, but rather grows more marvelous as we look deeper into nature. Microscopic cells of living things contain the finest molecular machinery working together like an entire industrial factory with a purpose connected to the particular organ of the body to which they are associated. And if we reduce life even further, we have the precisely arranged molecules within DNA like the words in a set of encyclopedias or the instructions in a suite of computer programs,

issuing out specifications that are read molecularly and then carried out molecularly for every cell of every organ of the body. They act as “command central” for cell operations, being organized very specifically in their chemical bonding and arrangement to provide all the instructions necessary for life-forms to live and reproduce. We know intuitively that creative complexity and specification requires intelligent design. And incredible creative complexity in nature requires a Super-Intelligent Creator. Cars, jets, spaceships, skyscrapers, computers, and computer programs do not come together by chance. No one in their right mind would think they would. **Such things require intelligence, creativity, and the thoughtful harnessing of energy. So when we see creative complexity and elegance in nature all around us, we rightly conclude that there is a God who transcends the physical creation.**

In the nineteenth century when Charles Darwin proposed his theory of evolution, championed by crusading out-spoken biologists Thomas Huxley, Ernst Haeckel, and others, living cells were thought to be not much more than cytoplasm with a cell wall. William Paley’s argument came to be called a “delusion” by evolutionary biologists who said that “natural selection” was the creative force behind the development of life (a falsely and grossly exaggerated claim as we shall see). We now know that even the most basic and microscopic components of life are complex to the smallest of physical levels and beyond, all the way to the incredible amount of specification and coded instruction (information) that is imbedded within tiny molecules. The complexity is “irreducible”, and Paley is vindicated.

*“The fool has said in his heart, ‘There is no God’”, Psalms 14:1 and Psalms 53:1.*

hundreds of thousands of generations of mutant genes were tested, in around 100 working fruit fly genetics labs in the United States alone. In other words, evolution was theoretically accelerated in millions of attempts to induce beneficial mutations which could then be “naturally selected” for, leading to some observed evolution.

And what was the result of all these studies? Mutant genes were not better, some were neutral, and the majority were harmful. “Mutation appears to be a destructive rather than a constructive process”. So said Dr T. Dobzhansky, who succeeded Morgan at Columbia University (Dobzhansky, 1977). **Yet the evolutionists hold out hope that somehow millions of beneficial mutations have occurred over “millions” of years and have been transmitted by natural selection through the process of the evolution of species. And they devise explanations and rhetoric to try to validate the hypothesis in spite of the lack of observation.**

The Bible juxtaposes “*cunningly devised fables*” with the observation of “*eyewitnesses*” (2 Peter 1:16). In contrast to evolution’s lack of a witness of evidence, the Bible very often reports its history on the basis of what judicial courts describe as “corroborating testimony”. This is testimony from independent “corroborating witnesses”, – multiple reports of the same events, which complement each other by their individual emphases and detail while not contradicting each other. It is the kind of evidence which is powerful to decide the truth in a court of law. For example, “corroborating testimony” is what the four different gospel writers Mathew, Mark, Luke, and John present about Jesus Christ (Strobel, 1998; Baukham, 2006). This gives the Bible superior reliability. Many other religious texts are the dictations of one individual, rather than the corroborating testimony of multiple witnesses.

The priests and gurus of our technological and secular age are evolutionary scientists who say that we should discard logical intuition and simply accept their counter-intuitive proclamations. They admit that there is the “appearance of design”. This is the reason for the titles of evolutionary biologist, ardent anti-creationist, and crusading atheist Richard Dawkins’ recent books, *The God Delusion* and *The Blind Watchmaker* - a reference to William Paley’s famous pocket-watch analogy discussed in the last chapter. Dawkins admits the logical intuition of creation. “Biology is the study of complicated things that give the appearance of having been designed for a purpose” (Dawkins, 1996). But he tries to argue, as do other evolutionists, that since some

science can be counter-intuitive, we must ignore the “mistaken intuition” of design and purpose. We must ignore the “appearance of design”. Natural selection they claim, is the “blind watchmaker”.

Here we have a “*cunningly devised fable*”. After admitting the logical intuitiveness of creation, the whole argument becomes, essentially, that because some science may be counterintuitive we should not trust logical intuition! Instead we should trust Dawkins and other evolutionary biologists who have learned the proper atheistic way to think about life. **What they wish to pass on is a terrible logic fallacy.** Some science may be counter-intuitive, but science itself is not defined as counter-intuitive knowledge about our world! Science is simply all knowledge about our world, most of which comes from the confirmation by testing of hypotheses which grow out of logical intuition!

When we study the creation in all honesty we are forced to the conclusion that an awesome Creator exists. The creation has the “appearance of design” because it has indeed been designed by the mind of God.

*“But now ask the beasts and they will teach you; and the birds of the air, and they will tell you; or speak to the earth, and it will teach you; and the fish of the sea will explain to you. **Who among all these does not know that the hand of the Lord has done this?**” Job 12:7-9.*

## O – *Origin* of One

*“God that made the world and all things therein, seeing that he is Lord of heaven and earth, ... giveth to all life, and breath, and all things; And hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth...” Acts 17:24-26*

**If evolution were true, intelligent life should have evolved multiple times in multiple forms.** So firmly was this recognized as a logical conclusion of evolution that at the beginning of the twentieth century black Africans, Pygmies, Aborigines of Australia, Tasmania, and the South Pacific, even Asians and Jews, were considered by many to be from a different branch in the evolutionary tree of life, and/or less-evolved creatures.

Evolutionism provided biological arguments for racism, rapidly fueling the growth of racism in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. **Any group of people not as advanced in civilization or technology, or who were otherwise peculiar, could be claimed as evolutionarily inferior.** The pseudo-science of eugenics was born out of evolutionism, in which racial features such as cranium sizes were studied in attempts to show superiority or inferiority among the races.

**Saying they are “sub-human”, and in the name of “helping nature eliminate the unfit”,** Europeans hunted Australian Aborigines and robbed their graves to send back thousands of museum specimens for skulls, pickled brains, and skins to be stuffed for display in Europe and the United States. The Tasmanian Aborigines were hunted to extinction, while an African Pygmy named Ota Benga was displayed for several years in a cage with an orangutan at the New York Zoo.

And of course the Nazis set up a large elaborate infrastructure to kill millions of the “evolutionarily unfit”. The Nazi’s, **and even quiet-spoken, respectable, hard-working museum directors collecting specimens of “primitive man”, were motivated by their belief that they were “helping evolution along”.** In this way they justified warmongering against and/or extermination of so-called “weaker peoples”. Finally, the sheer scale and humanitarian insult of the Nazi

holocaust created a new outrage against eugenics and evolution-based racism.

**Today, we know scientifically that there are no “sub-humans” in the world.** All primitive peoples are still people - people who can intermarry, become educated, and succeed like other folks. **They are not relics of less-evolved men. They are more comparable to burned-out corporate executives who for one reason or another have given up on civilization to become perhaps homeless persons on skid-row.**

The early evolutionary expectations of living ape-men have been proven wrong. All people, as individuals or groups, can either progress through hard work, wisdom, and civilization, or they can regress from earlier standards of living and quality of life as they adapt to different environments and adopt different lifestyles, attitudes and religions.

Genetic science now teaches us that if you take any two people from anywhere in the world, whether from the same people-group or not, genetic differences would be about 0.2% (Gutin, in Ham, 1999). The so-called “racial” differences (skin color, eye shape and color, hair, etc) account for only 1/17<sup>th</sup> of this 0.2% average genetic difference among peoples, or for a mere 0.012% difference genetically (Cameron, in Ham, 1999). There can be more genetic difference within a people group than between them, and the differences between them are genetically trivial. **The best match for an organ transplant for a white man in Germany might be found in an Australian Aborigine.** This would have been unthinkable to many during the early days following Darwin’s publication of *The Origin of Species: The Preservation of the Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life*. Notice the subtitle of Darwin’s book. People tend to de-emphasize that these days.

The Bible has always been right when it says “*God...hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth*”, *Acts 17:24-26*

**If evolution were indeed true we would expect it to have occurred throughout the universe from multiple angles where environments were suitable, with many different routes toward the culmination of intelligent life.** There is no reason, depending as evolution must on billions of randomly fortuitous chemical reactions, that we should find only one style of intelligent life (human beings). **The different imaginations of intelligent life such as found in the**

**science fiction of Star Wars should be evident all around us.** Why not intelligent species like the Ewoks, the Wookies (Chewbacka), the Hutts (Jaba the Hutt), the Gungans (Jar Jar Binks), the Tusken Raiders (Sand People), the Dugs (Sebulba), the Mon Calamari's (General Ackbar), the Quarrans (squid heads), the Jawas, the Rodians, the Ithorians, the Aqua Fish of Ando, and other weird intelligent life-forms? Our cantinas should be like the one dramatized through Star Wars' Mos Eisley Space Port, featuring amongst other space aliens the music playing Biths. Such a potpourri of intelligent life, at different levels, evolving through different chemistry along different paths, should be standard fare if evolution were true.

**In fact, because intelligent life-forms evolving all around us is a logical conclusion of evolutionism, mankind has engaged for nearly the last half-century in the SETI project (the Search for Extra-Terrestrial Intelligence).** Huge radio telescopes have been scanning outer space, listening for signals indicating intelligent life. But no such signals have ever been detected. And human beings on earth put out enough coded transmissions that similarly intelligent beings listening to the cosmos should be able to find us as well.

Yet so far, nothing! We seem to be the one special creation in the physical universe, just as the Bible tells us. There is one Creator God, who created life with similar building blocks and features for our benefit – resources, enjoyment, stewardship – and created food that our bodies can assimilate. If life came from varied chemical building blocks, how could we metabolize and assimilate our food?

**Partly because of the absence of different pathways to intelligent life on earth, evolutionary biologists are taught to assume that all life descends from common ancestors.** Interesting, isn't it, how the lack of evidence for their case causes evolutionists not to discard their case but simply to make another assumption about their case? (That's because evolutionism is a faith.) This assumption is called "**common descent**" or "**common ancestry**". It comes **from the observation of similar building blocks and similar features in living things. So they say, case closed.**

**However, the case is *not* closed.** As we study great creative works, we learn to recognize the unique styles of the masters. Rembrandt had his style as a great artist, and Bach had his style as a great composer and musician. Each is recognizable by their own peculiar combination of similar features throughout their works. **Great**

**artists, musicians, architects, sculptors or other creative geniuses reflect their individual personalities in their work.** And they have their particular reasons for staying with a certain style and format, and for using the same “nuts and bolts” and features in different aspects of their creations. A Norman Rockwell painting is recognized as a Norman Rockwell, not a Picasso, and vice versa. And a Frank Lloyd Wright architectural design has its recognizable features and similarities which easily distinguish it from the constructions of Buckminster Fuller or some other master architect. **The recognizable features and similarities identify the handiwork of a singular prolific and creative personality.** Likewise, similarities in the designs and constructions of nature testify to style and choice in the handiwork of One Super-Creative Personal God. “Common ancestry” thus gives way to the better postulate of “common design”. The “common ancestry” argument of the evolutionists is not at all a forced conclusion from evidence, but merely a biased assumption that denies the truth of God!

Now here’s the truly wonderful thing! This Designer/Creator/Personality wants each one of His special creation to cease denying and running from Him and to be restored to Him. **Through the person of Jesus Christ we can more clearly grasp the Personality of our Great God, and we can be redeemed by faith to a divine relationship with Him.** Through Christ’s death on a cross the problem of our estrangement to a Holy God, brought about by our moral corruption, has been laid to rest.

*“For Christ also suffered once for sins, the just for the unjust, that He might bring us to God, being put to death in the flesh but made alive by the Spirit” 1 Peter 3:18.*

*“Then God said, ‘Let Us make man in Our image, according to Our likeness; let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, over the birds of the air, and over the cattle; over all the earth and over every creeping thing that creeps on the earth’. So God created man in His own image, in the image of God He created him; male and female He created them” Genesis 1:26-27.*

## N – Noah Not Naturalism

*“For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: whereby **the world that then was**, being overflowed with water (Greek, kataklusmos, the ‘cataclysm’ specific to the flood of Noah), **perished** (was annihilated, utterly destroyed)”, 2 Peter 3:5-6 .*

**If we see a blind man restricted to a wheelchair, should we automatically assume he has been that way all his life? Remember, assumptions are everything when trying to assess what is true and right.** Perhaps he has, and this is the assumption of “uniformitarianism” – the things of the past have been uniform with what we observe going on today. But it is also quite possible that he has been involved at some point in his life in a catastrophic occurrence, which has left him changed and quite different from what he once was. Allowing for a catastrophic occurrence which has drastically changed things is called “catastrophism”.

Currently taught secular science proclaims that the earth is billions of years old. In contrast the Bible teaches, in its most “plain sense” reading, that the earth is only thousands of years old. **The discrepancy between secular science and the Bible is reconciled simply by understanding that the world as we know it now has been radically changed compared to its early history.** Therefore many assumptions about today’s measurements into the earth’s distant past are not valid.

Dinosaurs have been presented as relics in the history of evolution, which mysteriously became extinct 65 million years before men ever set foot on earth. Yet there is much evidence, suppressed by secular academics and the secular media, that dinosaurs coexisted with mankind and died off as a result of a huge watery catastrophe that buried some of their remains and fossilized them under tons of water pressure. **The catastrophic watery deluge** radically changed earth’s climate and ecology, making the world inhospitable to them. Such a catastrophe **would invalidate many uniformitarian assumptions about the earth’s past, just as a major catastrophe would change assumptions about the progress of life for the blind man in the wheelchair.**